

FAIL-SAFE AND SAFE-TO-FAIL ADAPTATION: DECISION-MAKING FOR URBAN FLOODING UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE







Yeowon Kim¹, D. A. Eisenberg², E. N. Bondank², M. V. Chester², G. Mascaro², B. S. Underwood³

¹School of Sustainability, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA ²Civil, Environmental, and Sustainable Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA ³Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA




* Contact authors for references

Background

As climate change affects precipitation patterns, urban infrastructure may become more vulnerable to flooding. Flooding mitigation strategies must be developed such that the failure of infrastructure does not compromise people, activities, or other infrastructures. "Safe-to-fail" is an emerging paradigm that broadly describes adaptation scenarios that allow infrastructure to fail but control or minimize the consequences of the failure. Traditionally, infrastructure is designed as "fail-safe" where they provide robust protection when the risks are accurately predicted within a designed safety factor. However, the risks and uncertainties faced by urban infrastructures are becoming so great due to climate change that the "fail-safe" paradigm should be questioned.

Q1. How might extreme weather due to climate change increase flooding of Phoenix roadways?




Flooding simulation

- = ArcGIS Hydrology
- + EPA Stormwater Management Model (SWMM)

Roadway vulnerability


= Flooding volume x Annual average daily traffic

Q2. What "safe-to-fail" roadway solutions and adaptation strategies exist to mitigate climate change induced flooding?




	All Criteria	Fail-Safe	Safe-to-Fail	Ahern	Ahern	Park	Park
		raii-Saie	Sale-10-Fall	Allelli	Allem		rain
Characteristic	Equal	Only	Only	all	Strategies	Strategies	Processes
Armoring							
Strengthening		$\sqrt{}$					-
Oversizing							
Isolation							
Fail-Silence		$\sqrt{}$				-	
Fail-Operation	V						
Multifunctionality / Flexibility			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
Redundancy / Modularization			$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$		
(Bio and Social) Diversity			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
Multi-Scale Networks / Connectivity			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
Adaptability / Adaptive Capacity			$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Efficiency			$\sqrt{}$			200	
Renewability / Regrowth			$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	
Sensing		-	$\sqrt{}$				$\sqrt{}$
Anticipation			$\sqrt{}$				$\sqrt{}$
Learning / Learning-by-doing		100	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	47-10-2	
Transformability / Transformation		A CONT			Plant Control		No.
Adaptive Design / Adaptive Planning	V		$\sqrt{}$		100 pt 100 pt		Same To
Transdisciplinarity	√ √			√ V		100	

Q3. How should the City of Phoenix prioritize "safe-to-fail" strategies?







Rank	Pank	All Criteria	Fail-Safe	Safe-to-Fail	Ahern All	Ahern	Park	Park
	Nalin	Equal	Only	Only	AHEIHAII	Strategies	Strategies	Processes
THE REAL PROPERTY.	1	Vegetated Bioretention Basin	Flood Storage	Vegetated Bioretention Basin	Activated Floodway	Activated Floodway	Discouraging Subsidence	RWIS
Eller or the	2	RWIS	Discouraging Subsidence	Activated Floodway	Vegetated Bioretention Basin	RWIS	Channel	Activated Floodway
ALIAN MANAGEMENT	3	Activated Floodway	Multi-span Bridge	RWIS	RWIS	Vegetated Bioretention Basin	Vegetated Bioretention Basin	Vegetated Bioretention Basin
	4	Flood Storage	Vegetated Bioretention Basin	Open Channel Conveyence	Flood Storage	Vegetation Management	Flood Storage	Vegetation Management
	5	Discouraging Subsidence	RWIS	Discouraging Subsidence	Vegetation Management	Discouraging Subsidence	Activated	Relocate Service Buildings

Key Takeaways

- The roadway segment-specific vulnerabilities for the event of September 8, 2014 indicate several infrastructure design and management considerations. The most vulnerable road types are local arterials followed by interstate highways and local major collectors in Phoenix.
- The combination of literature review, flooding vulnerability assessment, and MCDA results show how switching between different "fail-safe" and "safe-to-fail" perspectives changes the recommended roadway flooding solutions.
- Different characteristics defining "fail-safe" and "safe-to-fail" that are context- and infrastructure- specific, and non-uniform weighting of MCDA help to capture resilience in the decision-making processes.